

DVHTAC Special Series on Coordinated Entry:

Determining Housing Needs for DV/SV Survivors: A Decision Tree as an Alternative to a Score Sheet

August 20, 2020

Presenters

Cris Sullivan, PhD, MSU Research Consortium on Gender-Based Violence

Gabriela López Zerón, PhD, MSU Research Consortium on Gender-Based Violence

Moderators

Heidi Notario, NRCDDV

Kathy Moore, NRCDDV



DV & Housing TA Consortium (DVHTAC)


Federal Partners

- Family Violence Prevention & Services Program/HHS
- Office on Violence Against Women/DOJ
- Office for Victims of Crime/DOJ
- Office of Special Needs Assistance Programs/HUD
- US Interagency Council on Homelessness

Technical Assistance Providers

- National Alliance for Safe Housing (NASH)
- Collaborative Solutions, Inc. (CSI)
- National Network to End Domestic Violence (NNEDV)
- National Resource Center on Domestic Violence (NRCDV)
- National Sexual Violence Resource Center (NSVRC)
- Corporation for Supportive Housing (CSH)

The Intersections Between Domestic & Sexual Violence, Racism and Homelessness



Making an Intentional Shift

- HUD: Advancing Racial Equity through Assessments & Prioritization (8/11/20)
 - Communities should review prioritization policies & modify coordinated entry (CE) systems
 - Disparities experienced by BIPOC & LGBTQ populations
 - Intended goal of CE: Ensuring the most vulnerable are prioritized
- Convergence & disparate impact of numerous public health crises
 - COVID-19, systemic racism, domestic & sexual violence, poverty & homelessness
 - CE processes exacerbate inequities & fail to prioritize survivors
 - Many communities dissatisfied with VI-SPDAT, want new scoring tool
- Bold moves toward increasing equity
 - Part of a larger, deliberate shift in policies, practices & approach to CE

Cris M. Sullivan, PhD

Gabriela López-Zerón, PhD



RESEARCH CONSORTIUM
ON GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE

**Assessing for and
Appropriately Responding to
the Housing Needs of
Domestic Violence and
Sexual Assault Survivors:**

**A Decision Tree as an
Alternative to a Scoresheet**

Cris M. Sullivan, PhD
Gabriela López-Zerón,
PhD

August 2020

Acknowledgments & Disclaimer



This project was made possible by Grant Number 90EV0451 to the National Resource Center on Domestic Violence from the Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Family and Youth Services Bureau, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the presenters and do not necessarily represent the official views of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.



Prioritizing People into Housing

- ▶ HUD requires CoCs to utilize a Coordinated Entry (CE) system in order to **prioritize homeless** people into limited housing options
- ▶ The system is intended to provide people with **fair and equal access to housing**, while also prioritizing the needs of the most vulnerable individuals and families
- ▶ While the idea behind prioritizing is laudable and was designed to minimize practices based on favoritism or prejudice, communities continue to struggle with how to **equitably and fairly implement CE**
- ▶ The most frequently used screening tool is the VI-SPDAT or one of its iterations



Critiques of the VI-SPDAT

- ▶ The VI-SPDAT was created to assess the vulnerabilities of chronically homeless individuals who are experiencing severe mental illness and/or substance abuse, and **does not tend to prioritize domestic violence (DV) survivors into housing options other than DV shelters**
- ▶ An empirical study has now found that the VI-SPDAT lacks validity and reliability (McCauley & Reid, 2020)
- ▶ It also may result in racial bias in prioritization (Building Changes, 2019)



Prioritizing People into Housing

- ▶ People want a brief and easy-to-use scoring tool that reliably ranks people's vulnerabilities and documents their preferences and needs
- ▶ Overly simple solutions, however, are rarely the answer to complicated problems
- ▶ We propose that the most promising solution lies in having **brief but focused conversations** with survivors that cover the topics most relevant to choosing strategies likely to lead to safe and stable housing



Prioritizing Survivors with Similar Housing Needs and Preferences


- ▶ In many communities across the country, the need for housing (including DV shelters and transitional housing) far exceeds the supply
- ▶ Agencies are faced with the difficult decision of deciding who to give one housing unit or one housing voucher to among multiple, similarly disadvantaged individuals and families
- ▶ How to fairly do this is controversial
- ▶ We suggest that the fairest way to make this decision is “first come, first served.”



Housing Prioritization Decision Tree

- ▶ We have created a **Decision Tree** that involves asking about the following issues:
 1. Safety *as it relates to housing*
 2. How stably or unstably housed the person has been in the last 6-12 months
 3. The issues they have going on that could prevent them from accessing/maintaining safe and stable housing now and into the future

Assessing for and Appropriately Responding to the Housing Needs of Survivors





Key Questions and Phrases

Accurately and effectively assessing needs through targeted conversations requires strong communication skills that involve:

- ▶ Active listening
- ▶ Demonstrating empathy
- ▶ Redirecting conversation
- ▶ Providing options
- ▶ Negotiating options



Key Questions and Phrases

Active Listening:

- ▶ “What I’m hearing you say is...”
- ▶ “So, it sounds important to you that...”
- ▶ “It sounds like you’d like to prioritize...”



Key Questions and Phrases

Demonstrating Empathy:

- ▶ “I’m so sorry this is happening to you...”
- ▶ “I know this is extremely difficult for you...”
- ▶ “Everyone is different and has their own issues and concerns. Some people have criminal records, or are dealing with drugs or alcohol, or have family issues that impact their safety and housing...I want you to feel like you can talk to me and tell me what is going on for you that would help me help you get safely and stably housed.”



Key Questions and Phrases

Redirecting Conversation:

- ▶ “I know that’s a really important concern of yours and I’d like to come back to it, but right now I’d like to make sure we’re focused on getting you into housing. Is it okay if we go back to....?”
- ▶ “Mmhmm, okay....and can I now ask you about...?”



Key Questions and Phrases

Providing Options:

- ▶ “Let me tell you about the different options we may have to choose from and then we can figure out together what might be best.”
- ▶ “Many people assume they have to leave their homes in order to be safe, but we may be able to help pay for some things that would allow you to stay in your home, if you’d like to consider that...”



Key Questions and Phrases

Negotiating Options:

- ▶ “I really wish we had a housing voucher to give you. Since we don’t, what do you think about...?”
- ▶ “The shelter is full right now, but I might be able to locate a hotel voucher. Do you think that would be a good immediate option right now? Do you have a family member or friend that would be a better option?”



Questions? Comments?

Presenters' Contact Information

Cris M. Sullivan, PhD

Director

MSU Research Consortium on Gender-Based Violence

sulliv22@msu.edu

Gabriela López-Zerón, PhD

Associate Director

MSU Research Consortium on Gender-Based Violence

lopezga3@msu.edu

Consortium COVID-19 Resources

- **Safe Housing Partnerships:**

<https://safehousingpartnerships.org/news/>

- **NASH:** [DV and Housing-Related Coronavirus Resources](#)

- **NNEDV:** [COVID-19: Coalition Guidance for Programs by the National Network to End Domestic Violence](#)

- **NRCDV:** [Preventing & Managing the Spread of COVID-19 Within Domestic Violence Programs](#)

- **NSVRC:** [Resources for COVID-19 Response](#)

- **CSI:** [HUD and DV Resources -COVID-19](#)

This project was supported by Grant No. 2018-SI-AX-K005 awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this publication/program/exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Department of Justice.